arXiv:1304.1579v1 [math.RA] 4 Apr 2013

HOM-ALTERNATIVE, HOM-MALCEV AND HOM-JORDAN SUPERALGEBRAS

K. ABDAOUI, F. AMMAR AND A. MAKHLOUF

Abstract. Hom-alternative, Hom-Malcev and Hom-Jordan superalgebras are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generalizations of Hom-alternative, Hom-Malcev and Hom-Jordan algebras, which are Hom-type generalizations of alternative, Malcev and Jordan algebras. In this paper we prove that Hom-alternative superalgebras are Hom-Malcev-admissible and are also Hom-Jordan-admissible. Home-type generalizations of some well known identities in alternative superalgebras, including the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Bruck-Kleinfled function are obtained.

1. Introduction

A Malcev superalgebra is a non-associative superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ with a super skewsymmetric multiplication $[-,-]$ (i.e, $[x,y]=-(-1)^{|x||y|}[y,x]$) such that the Malcev super-identity

$$\begin{aligned} 2[t,\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)] &= \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,x,[y,z])+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,y,[z,x]) \\ &+ (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,z,[x,y]) \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

is satisfied for all homogeneous elements x,y,z,t in the superspace $\mathcal{A}$, where

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)=[[x,y],z]-[x,[y,z]]-(-1)^{|y||z|}[[x,z],y] \tag{1.2}$$

is the super-Jacobian. In particular, Lie superalgebras are examples of Malcev superalgebras. Malcev superalgebras play an important role in the geometry of smooth loops.

Closely related to Malcev superalgebras are alternative superalgebras. An alternative superalgebras [33] is a superalgebras whose associator is a super-alternating function. In particular, all associative superalgebras are alternative.

A Jordan superalgebra is a super-commutative superalgebra (i.e. $x\cdot y=(-1)^{|x||y|}y\cdot x$) that satisfies the Jordan super-identity

$$\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}as_{\mathcal{A}}(x\cdot y,z,t) \quad = \quad 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\sum\limits_{x,y,t}$ denotes the cyclic sum over (x,y,t) and $as_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)=(x\cdot y)\cdot z-x\cdot(y\cdot z)$ for all homogeneous elements $x,y,z\in\mathcal{A}$.

Starting with an alternative superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$, it is known that the super-product

$$x*y=\frac{1}{2}(x\cdot y+(-1)^{|x||y|}y\cdot x)$$

gives a Jordan superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^+=(\mathcal{A},*)$. In other words, alternative superalgebras are Jordan-admissible. The reader is referred to [5, 24, 25] for discussions about the important role of Jordan superalgebras in physics, especially in quantum mechanics.

The purpose of this paper is to study Hom-type generalizations of alternative superalgebras, Malcev (-admissible) superalgebras and Jordan (-admissible) superalgebras.

In Section 2, we introduce Hom-alternative superalgebras and prove two construction results Theorems (2.1) and (2.1). Theorem (2.1) says that the category of Hom-alternative superalgebras is closed under self weak morphism.

In Section 3, we introduce Hom-Malcev superalgebra and prove two construction Theorems (3.1) and (3.2). Hom-Malcev superalgebras include Malcev superalgebras and Hom-Lie superalgebras as examples. Theorem (3.1) says that the category of Hom-Malcev superalgebras is closed under self weak morphism.

In Section 4, we show that Hom-alternative superalgebras are Hom-Malcev-admissible (Theorem (4.1)). That is, the super-commutator Hom-superalgebra (Definition (4.1)) of a Hom-alternative superalgebra is a Hom-Malcev superalgebra, generalizing the fact that alternative superalgebras are Malcev-admissible. The proof of the Hom-Malcev-admissibility of Hom-alternative superalgebras involves the Hom-type analogues of certain identities that holds in alternative superalgebra and of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Bruck-Kleinfled function.

In Section 5, we introduce Hom-flexible superalgebras and we consider the class of Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebras. In Proposition (5.1), we give several characterizations of Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebras that are also Hom-flexible. Hom-alternative superalgebras are Hom-flexible, so by Theorem (4.1) Hom-alternative superalgebras are both Hom-flexible and Hom-Malcev-admissible. In Examples (5.1) and (5.2), we construct Hom-flexible, Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebras that are not Hom-alternative, not Hom-Lie-admissible, and not Malcev-admissible.

In Section 6, we introduce and study Hom-Jordan ($-$admissible) superalgebras, which are the Hom-type generalizations of Jordan ($-$admissible) superalgebras. We show that Hom-alternative superalgebras are Hom-Jordan-admissible (Theorem (6.1)). In other words, the plus Hom-superalgebra (Definition (6.1)) of any Hom-alternative superalgebra is a Hom-Jordan superalgebra, generalizing the Jordan-admissibility of alternative superalgebras. Construction results analogous of Theorems (2.1) and (2.1) are provided for Hom-Jordan ($-$admissible) superalgebras (Theorems (6.2) and (6.3)). In Examples (6.2) and (6.3), we construct two (non-Jordan) Hom-Jordan superalgebras using the 3-dimensional Kaplansky superalgebra, respectively the 4-dimensional simple Jordan superalgebra D_t, where $t \neq 0$.

2. HOM-ALTERNATIVE SUPERALGEBRAS

Throughout this paper $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and $\mathcal{A}$ is a linear super-espace over $\mathbb{K}$. In this section, we introduce Hom-alternative superalgebras and study their general properties. We provide some construction results for Hom-alternative superalgebras (Theorem (2.1) and Theorem (2.1)).

Now let $\mathcal{A}$ be a linear superespace over $\mathbb{K}$ that is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded linear space with a direct sum $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$. The element of $\mathcal{A}_j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, are said to be homogeneous of parity j. The parity of a homogeneous element x is denoted by $|x|$. In the sequel, we will denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all homogeneous elements of $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.1. *By a Hom-superalgebra we mean a triple* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ *in which* $\mathcal{A}$ *is a* $\mathbb{K}-$*super-module,* $\mu : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ *is an even bilinear map, and* $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ *is an even linear map such that* $\alpha \circ \mu = \mu \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}$ *(multiplicativity).*

Remark 2.1. *The multiplicativity of the twisting even map* α *is built into our definitions of Hom-superalgebra. We chose to impose multiplicativity because many of our results depend on it and all of our concrete examples of Hom-alternative, Hom-Malcev* ($-$*admissible*) *and Hom-Jordan* ($-$*admissible*) *superalgebras have this property.*

Definition 2.2. *Let* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ *be a Hom-superalgebra, that is a* $\mathbb{K}$*-vector superspace* $\mathcal{A}$ *together with a multiplication* μ *and a linear self-map* α.

(1) *The* Hom-associator *of* $\mathcal{A}$ [16] *is the trilinear map* $\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ *defined as*

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}} = \mu \circ (\mu \otimes \alpha - \alpha \otimes \mu). \tag{2.4}$$

In terms of elements, the map $\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}$ *is given by*

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, y, z) = \mu(\mu(x, y), \alpha(z)) - \mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)).$$

(2) *The* Hom-super-Jacobian *of* $\mathcal{A}$ [3] *is the trilinear map* $\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ *defined as*

$$\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y, z) = \mu(\mu(x, y), \alpha(z)) - \mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) - (-1)^{|y||z|}\mu(\mu(x, z), \alpha(y)). \tag{2.5}$$

Note that when $(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a superalgebra (with $\alpha = Id$), its Hom-associator and Hom-super-Jacobian coincide with its usual associator and super-Jacobian, respectively.

Definition 2.3. *A* left Hom-alternative superalgebra (*resp.* right Hom-alternative superalgebra) *is a triple* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ *consisting of* $\mathbb{Z}_2-$*graded vector space* $\mathcal{A}$*, an even bilinear map* $\mu : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ *and an even homomorphism* $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ *satisfying the* left Hom-alternative super-identity, *that is for all* $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, y, z) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y, x, z) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

respectively, right Hom-alternative super-identity, *that is*

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, y, z) + (-1)^{|y||z|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, z, y) = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

A Hom-alternative *superalgebra is one which is both left and right Hom-alternative superalgebra. In particular, if* α *is a morphism of alternative superalgebras (i.e,* $\alpha \circ \mu = \mu \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}$*), then we call* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ *a* multiplicative *Hom-alternative superalgebra.*

Observe that when $\alpha = Id$, the left Hom-alternative super-identity (2.6) (resp. right Hom-alternative super-identity (2.7)) reduces to the usual left alternative super-identity (resp. right alternative super-identity).

Definition 2.4. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{A}^{'}, \mu^{'}, \alpha^{'})$ be two Hom-alternative superalgebras. An even linear map $f : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{'}$ is called:*

1. *a weak morphism of Hom-alternative superalgebras if it is satisfies $f \circ \mu = \mu^{'} \circ (f \otimes f)$.*
2. *a is morphism of Hom-alternative superalgebras if f is a weak morphism and $f \circ \alpha = \alpha^{'} \circ f$.*

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ be a Hom-alternative superalgebra. Then*

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, y, z) = -(-1)^{|y||z|+|x||z|+|y||x|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z, y, x),$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Since $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ is a Hom-alternative superalgebra. Then, for all x, y, z in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, y, z) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y, x, z) = -(-1)^{|y||z|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, z, y).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, y, z) &= -(-1)^{|y||z|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, z, y) \\ &= (-1)^{|y||z|+|x||z|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z, x, y) \\ &= -(-1)^{|y||z|+|x||z|+|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z, y, x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ be a Hom-alternative superalgebra. Suppose that $\mu(x, y) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, then*

$$\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(\alpha(y), \mu(x, z))$$

and

$$\mu(\mu(z, x), \alpha(y)) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(\alpha(y), \mu(z, x)).$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, the left Hom-alternative super-identity gives

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, y, z) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y, x, z) = 0.$$

Then

$$\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) - \mu(\mu(x, y), \alpha(z)) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(\alpha(y), \mu(x, z)) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(\mu(y, x), \alpha(z)) = 0,$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu(\mu(x, y), \alpha(z)) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(\mu(y, x), \alpha(z)) &= (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(\mu(y, x), \alpha(z)) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(\mu(y, x), \alpha(z)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(\alpha(y), \mu(x, z)) = 0$. Hence $\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) = -(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(\alpha(y), \mu(x, z))$. □

2.1. Construction Theorems and Examples.

In this section, we prove that the category of Hom-alternative superalgebras is closed under self weak morphism. This procedure was applied to associative (super-) algebras, G-associative (super-) algebras and Lie (super-) algebras in [3, 16]. It was introduced first in [31, Theorem (2.3)] and this procedure is called twisting principle or construction by composition.

Definition 2.5. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a given superalgebra and $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an even superalgebra morphism. Define the Hom-superalgebra induced by α as*

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha = (\mathcal{A}, \mu_\alpha = \alpha \circ \mu, \alpha).$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ be a left Hom-alternative superalgebra (resp. right Hom-alternative superalgebra) and $\beta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an even left alternative superalgebra endomorphism (resp. right alternative superalgebra). Then $\mathcal{A}_\beta = (\mathcal{A}, \mu_\beta = \beta \circ \mu, \beta\alpha)$ is a left Hom-alternative superalgebra (resp. right Hom-alternative superalgebra). Moreover, suppose that $(\mathcal{A}^{'}, \mu^{'})$ is an other left alternative superalgebra (resp. right alternative superalgebra) and $\alpha^{'} : \mathcal{A}^{'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{'}$ be a left alternative superalgebra endomorphism (resp. right alternative superalgebra* *endomorphism). If $f : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ is a left alternative superalgebra morphism (resp. right alternative superalgebra morphism) that satisfies $f \circ \beta = \alpha' \circ f$, then*

$$f : (A, \mu_\beta = \beta \circ \mu, \beta\alpha) \longrightarrow (A', \mu'_{\alpha'} = \alpha' \circ \mu', \alpha')$$

is a morphism of left Hom-alternative superalgebras (resp. right Hom-alternative superalgebras).

Proof. We show that $\mathcal{A}_\beta = (\mathcal{A}, \mu_\beta = \beta \circ \mu, \beta\alpha)$ satisfies the left Hom-alternative super-identity (2.6) (resp. right Hom-alternative super-identity (2.7)). Indeed

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}}(x,y,z) & = & \mu_\beta(\beta\alpha(x), \mu_\beta(y,z)) - \mu_\beta(\mu_\beta(x,y), \beta\alpha(z)) \\
& = & \beta \circ \mu(\beta\alpha(x), \beta \circ \mu(y,z)) - \beta \circ \mu(\beta \circ \mu(x,y), \beta\alpha(z)) \\
& = & \beta^2 \circ \Big(\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y,z)) - \mu(\mu(x,y), \alpha(z))\Big) \\
& = & \beta^2 \circ \Big(- (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(\alpha(y), \mu(x,z)) - \mu(\mu(y,x), \alpha(z))\Big) \\
& = & -(-1)^{|x||y|}\Big(\beta^2 \circ (\mu(\alpha(y), \mu(x,z)) - \mu(\mu(y,x), \alpha(z)))\Big) \\
& = & -(-1)^{|x||y|}\Big(\beta \circ \mu(\beta\alpha(y), \beta \circ \mu(x,z)) - \beta \circ \mu(\beta \circ \mu(y,x), \beta\alpha(z))\Big) \\
& = & -(-1)^{|x||y|}\Big(\mu_\beta(\beta\alpha(y), \mu_\alpha(x,z)) - \mu_\beta(\mu_\beta(y,x), \beta\alpha(z))\Big) \\
& = & -(-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}}(y,x,z).
\end{array}$$

The second assertion follows from

$$f \circ \mu_\beta = f \circ \beta \circ \mu = \alpha' \circ f \circ \mu = \alpha' \circ \mu' \circ f \otimes f = \mu'_{\alpha'} \circ f \otimes f.$$

□

As a particular case we obtain the following Example.

Example 2.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be an alternative superalgebra and α be an even alternative superalgebra morphism, then $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = (\mathcal{A}, \mu_\alpha, \alpha)$ is a multiplicative Hom-alternative superalgebra.*

Remark 2.2. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ be a Hom-alternative superalgebra, one may ask whether this Hom-alternative superalgebra is induced by an ordinary alternative superalgebra $(\mathcal{A}, \widetilde{\mu})$, that is α is an even superalgebra endomorphism with respect to $\widetilde{\mu}$ and $\mu = \alpha \circ \widetilde{\mu}$. This question was adressed and discussed for Hom-associative algebras in* [9].
First observation, if α is an even superalgebra endomorphism with respect to $\widetilde{\mu}$. Then α is also an even superalgebra endomorphism with respect to μ. Indeed

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mu(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) & = & \alpha \circ \widetilde{\mu}(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) \\
& = & \alpha \circ \alpha \circ \widetilde{\mu}(x,y) \\
& = & \alpha \circ \mu(x,y).
\end{array}$$

Second observation, if α is bijective then α^{-1} is also an even superalgebra automorphism. Therefore one may use an untwist operation on the Hom-alternative superalgebra in order to recover the alternative superalgebra $(\widetilde{\mu} = \alpha^{-1} \circ \mu)$.

Definition 2.6. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ be a Hom-superalgebra and $n \geq 0$. Define the* nth derived *Hom-superalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ by*

$$\mathcal{A}^n = (\mathcal{A}, \mu^{(n)} = \alpha^{2^n - 1} \circ \mu, \alpha^{2^n}).$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^1 = (\mathcal{A}, \mu^{(1)} = \alpha \circ \mu, \alpha^2)$, and $\mathcal{A}^{n+1} = (\mathcal{A}^n)^1$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-alternative superalgebra. Then the* nth *derived Hom-superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^n = (\mathcal{A}, \mu^{(n)} = \alpha^{2^n - 1} \circ \mu, \alpha^{2^n})$ is also a multiplicative Hom-alternative superalgebra for each $n \geq 0$.*

3. HOM-MALCEV SUPERALGEBRAS

We introduce and study in this section Hom-Malcev superalgebras. Other characterizations of the Hom-Malcev super-identity are given by Proposition (3.1). We provide some construction results for Hom-Malcev superalgebras Theorem (3.2) and Theorem (3.1). Then using Theorem (3.1), we construct (non-Hom-Lie) Hom-Malcev superalgebra (Example (3.2)).

Definition 3.1.

(1) *A Hom-Lie superalgebra* [3] *is a Hom-superalgebra* $(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ *such that* $[-,-]$ *is super skewsymmetric* (*i.e.* $[x,y]=-(-1)^{|x||y|}[y,x]$) *and that the Hom-Jacobian super-identity*

$$\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)=[[x,y],\alpha(z)]-[\alpha(x),[y,z]]-(-1)^{|y||z|}[[x,z],\alpha(y)]=0 \tag{3.8}$$

is satisfied for all x,y,z *in* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

(2) *A Hom-Malcev superalgebra is a Hom-superalgebra* $(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ *such that* $[-,-]$ *is super skewsymmetric* (*i.e.* $[x,y]=-(-1)^{|x||y|}[y,x]$) *and that the Hom-Malcev super-identity*

$$\begin{aligned}2[\alpha^2(t),\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)] &= \widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(x),[y,z])+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[z,x])\\ &+ (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(z),[x,y])\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

is satisfied for all $x,y,z,t\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

Observe that when $\alpha=Id$, the Hom-Jacobi super-identity reduces to the usual Jacobi super-identity

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)=[[x,y],z]-[x,[y,z]]-(-1)^{|y||z|}[[x,z],y]=0$$

for all $x,y,z\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$. Likewise, when $\alpha=Id$, by the super anti-symmetry of $[-,-]$, the Hom-Malcev super-identity reduces to the Malcev super-identity (3.10) or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}2[t,\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)] &= \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,x,[y,z])+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,y,[z,x])\\ &+ (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,z,[x,y])\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for all x,y,z and t in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

Example 3.1. *A Lie* (*resp. Malcev* [6, 7]*) superalgebra* $(\mathcal{A},[-,-])$ *is a Hom-Lie* (*resp. Hom-Malcev*) *superalgebra with* $\alpha=Id$, *since the Hom-Jacobi super-identity (6.32)* (*resp. Hom-Malcev super-identity (3.9)) reduces to the usual Jacobi* (*resp. Malcev*) *super-identity. Moreover, every Hom-Lie superalgebra is a Hom-Malcev superalgebra because the Hom-Jacobi super-identity (3.8) clearly implies the Hom-Malcev super-identity* (3.9).

Lemma 3.1. *If* $(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ *is a Hom-Malcev superalgebra. Then for all* $x,y,z\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$

1. $\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)=-(-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(y,x,z)$,
2. $\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)=-(-1)^{|y||z|}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,z,y)$,
3. $\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z)=-(-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(z,y,x)$.

Proof. Straightforward calculations. □

Now, we aim to provide examples of Hom-Malcev superalgebras, using twisting principle. Let us give some characterizations of the Hom-Malcev super-identity.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ *be a multiplicative Hom-superalgebra where* $[-,-]$ *is super skewsymmetric. The following statements are equivalent*

(1) $(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ *is a Hom-Malcev superalgebra, i.e. the Hom-Malcev super-identity* (3.9) *holds.*

(2) *The equality*

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[t,z])+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[x,z])\\ &=(-1)^{|t||z|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z),\alpha^2(t)]+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,y,z),\alpha^2(x)]\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

holds for all $x,y,z,t\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

(3) *The equality*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\alpha([[t,y],[x,z]])+\alpha([[x,y],[t,z]])\\
&=(-1)^{|t||z|+|x|(|t|+|y|+|z|)}[[[y,z],\alpha(t)],\alpha^2(x)]+(-1)^{|t||z|+|x|(|y|+|z|)}[[[y,z],\alpha(x)],\alpha^2(t)]\\
&+(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|t|)+|y|(|z|+|t|)}[[[z,x],\alpha(t)],\alpha^2(y)]+(-1)^{|t||z|+|y|(|t|+|z|)+|x|(|t|+|z|)}[[[z,t],\alpha(x)],\alpha^2(y)]\\
&+(-1)^{|t||y|+|x|(|t|+|y|+|z|)}[[[t,y],\alpha(z)],\alpha^2(x)]+(-1)^{|z||t|}[[[x,y],\alpha(z)],\alpha^2(t)]
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.12}
$$

holds for all x, y, z and t in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. To prove the equivalence between (3.11) and (3.12), observe that the left hand side of the identity (3.11) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[t,z])+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[x,z])\\
&=\alpha([[x,y],[t,z]])-[\alpha^2(x),[\alpha(y),[t,z]]]-(-1)^{|y|(|t|+|z|)}[[\alpha(x),[t,z]],\alpha^2(y)]\\
&+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\alpha([[t,y],[x,z]])-(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}[\alpha^2(t),[\alpha(y),[x,z]]]\\
&-(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}[\alpha(x),[t,z]],\alpha^2(y)].
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last equality above, we use the multiplicativity of α and the super anti-symmetry of $[-,-]$. Likewise, the right-hand side of the identity (3.11) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{|t||z|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z),\alpha^2(t)]+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,y,z),\alpha^2(x)]\\
&=(-1)^{|t||x|}[[[x,y],\alpha(z)],\alpha^2(t)]+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[[[t,y],\alpha(z)],\alpha^2(x)]\\
&-(-1)^{|z|(|t|+|y|)}[[[x,z],\alpha(y)],\alpha^2(t)]-(-1)^{|t||x|}[[\alpha(x),[y,z]],\alpha^2(t)]\\
&-(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[[\alpha(x),[y,z]],\alpha^2(t)]\\
&-(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|y|(|t|+|z|)}[[[t,z],\alpha(y)],\alpha^2(x)].
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the two summands $\Big(-[\alpha^2(x),[\alpha(y),[t,z]]]\Big)$ and $\Big(-(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}[\alpha^2(t),[\alpha(y),[x,z]]]\Big)$ appears on both sides of (3.11), the above calculation and a rearrangement of terms imply the equivalence between (3.11) and (3.12). ☐

To state our next result, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-superalgebra. Then we have*

$$\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}\circ\alpha^{\otimes 3}=\alpha\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}\tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^n}=\alpha^{2(2^n-1)}\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}\tag{3.14}$$

for all $n\geq 0$.

Proof. Let $x,y,z\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),\alpha(z)) &= [[\alpha(x),\alpha(y)],\alpha^2(z)]-[\alpha^2(x),[\alpha(y),\alpha(z)]]-(-1)^{|y||z|}[[\alpha(x),\alpha(z)],\alpha^2(y)]\\
&= \alpha([[x,y],\alpha(z)])-\alpha([\alpha(x),[y,z]])-(-1)^{|y||z|}\alpha([[x,z],\alpha(y)])\ \text{(by multiplicativity of }\alpha)\\
&= \alpha\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z).
\end{aligned}
$$

So condition (3.13) holds. For (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^n}(x,y,z) &= [[x,y]^{(n)},\alpha^{2^n}(z)]^{(n)}-[\alpha^{2^n}(x),[y,z]^{(n)}]^{(n)}-(-1)^{|y||z|}[[x,z]^{(n)},\alpha^{2^n}(y)]^{(n)}\\
&= \alpha^{2^n-1}\circ[\alpha^{2^n-1}([x,y]),\alpha^{2^n}(z)]-\alpha^{2^n-1}\circ[\alpha^{2^n}(x),\alpha^{2^n-1}([y,z])]\\
&- (-1)^{|y||z|}\alpha^{2^n-1}\circ[\alpha^{2^n-1}([x,z]),\alpha^{2^n}(y)]\\
&= \alpha^{2(2^n-1)}\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from the multiplicativity of α with respect to the bracket $[-,-]$. ☐

The following result shows that the category of Hom-Malcev superalgebras is closed under taking derived Hom-superalgebras.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Malcev superalgebra. Then the derived Hom-superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^n=(\mathcal{A},[-,-]^{(n)}=\alpha^{2^n-1}\circ[-,-],\alpha^{2^n})$ is also a Hom-Malcev superalgebra for any $n\geq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}^0=\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^1=(\mathcal{A},[-,-]^{(1)}=\alpha\circ[-,-],\alpha^2)$, and $\mathcal{A}^{n+1}=(\mathcal{A}^n)^1$, by an induction argument it is enough to prove the case $n=1$.
To show that $\mathcal{A}^1$ is a Hom-Malcev superalgebra, first note that $[-,-]^{(1)}$ is super skewsymmetric because $[-,-]$ is super skewsymmetric and α is linear. Since α^2 is multiplicative with respect to $[-,-]^{(1)}$, it remains to show the Hom-Malcev super-identity for $\mathcal{A}^1$. For all x,y,z and t in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^1}(\alpha^2(x),\alpha^2(y),[t,z]^{(1)})+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^1}(\alpha^2(t),\alpha^2(y),[x,z]^{(1)})\\
&=\alpha^2\circ\Big(\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha^2(x),\alpha^2(y),\alpha([t,z]))+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha^2(t),\alpha^2(y),\alpha([x,z]))\Big)\\
&=\alpha^3\circ\Big(\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[t,z])+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[x,z])\Big)\\
&=\alpha^3\circ\Big((-1)^{|t||z|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^1}(x,y,z),\alpha^2(t)]+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^1}(t,y,z),\alpha^2(x)]\Big)\\
&=(-1)^{|t||z|}[\alpha^2\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^1}(x,y,z),(\alpha^2)^2(t)]^{(1)}+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\alpha^2\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^1}(t,y,z),(\alpha^2)^2(x)]^{(1)}\\
&=(-1)^{|t||z|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^1}(x,y,z),(\alpha^2)^2(t)]^{(1)}+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^1}(t,y,z),(\alpha^2)^2(x)]^{(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This establishes the Hom-Malcev super-identity in $\mathcal{A}^1$ and finishes the proof. ☐

The next result shows that given a Hom-Malcev superalgebra and an even alternative superalgebra morphism, the induced Hom-superalgebra is a Hom-Malcev superalgebra.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Malcev superalgebra and $\beta:\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$ be an even Malcev superalgebra endomorphism. Then $\mathcal{A}_\beta=(\mathcal{A},[-,-]_\beta=\beta\circ[-,-],\beta\alpha)$ is a Hom-Malcev superalgebra.*

Proof. Since $[-,-]_\beta$ is super skewsymmetric, it remains to prove the Hom-Malcev super-identity (3.9) for $\mathcal{A}_\beta$. Here we regard $\mathcal{A}$ as the Hom-Malcev superalgebra $(\mathcal{A},[-,-],Id)$ with identity twisting map. For any superalgebra $(\mathcal{A},[-,-])$, by the multiplicativity of β with respect to $[-,-]$, we have

$$[-,-]_\beta\circ([-,-]_\beta\otimes\beta\alpha)=\beta^2\circ[-,-]\circ([-,-]\otimes\beta)$$

and

$$[-,-]\circ([-,-]\otimes Id)\circ\beta^{\otimes 3}=\beta\circ[-,-]\circ([-,-]\otimes Id).$$

Pre-composing these identities with the cyclic sum $(Id+\sigma+\sigma^2)$(2.5) (which commutes with $\beta^{\otimes 3}$), we obtain

$$\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}=\beta^2\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}} \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}\circ\beta^{\otimes 3}=\beta\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}. \tag{3.16}$$

To prove the Hom-Malcev super-identity for $\mathcal{A}_\beta$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}(\beta\alpha(x),\beta\alpha(y),[t,z]_\beta)+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}(\beta\alpha(t),\beta\alpha(y),[x,z]_\beta)\\
&=\beta^2\circ\Big(\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta\alpha(x),\beta\alpha(y),\beta([t,z]))+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta\alpha(t),\beta\alpha(y),\beta([x,z]))\Big)\\
&=\beta^3\circ\Big(\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[t,z])+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[x,z])\Big)\\
&=\beta^3\circ\Big((-1)^{|t||z|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z),\alpha^2(t)]+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,y,z),\alpha^2(x)]\Big)\\
&=(-1)^{|t||z|}[\beta^2\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z),(\beta\alpha)^2(t)]_\beta+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\beta^2\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,y,z)),(\beta\alpha)^2(x)]_\beta\\
&=(-1)^{|t||z|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}(x,y,z),(\beta\alpha)^2(t)]_\beta+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}(t,y,z),(\beta\alpha)^2(x)]_\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the Hom-Malcev super-identity holds in $\mathcal{A}_\beta$. ☐

Example 3.2. (*Hom-Malcev superalgebra of dimension* 4). *Let $M^3(3,1)$ be a non-Lie Malcev superalgebra defined with respect to a basis $\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$, where $(M^3(3,1))_0=span\{e_1,e_2,e_3\}$ and $(M^3(3,1))_1=span\{e_4\}$, by the following multiplication table (see* [2]*) :*

$[-,-]$	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4
e_1	0	0	$-e_1$	0
e_2	0	0	$2e_2$	0
e_3	e_1	$-2e_2$	0	$-e_4$
e_4	0	0	e_4	e_1+e_2

The even superalgebra endomorphism α_1 with respect to the same basis is defined by the matrix

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{K}$. For each such even superalgebra morphism $\alpha_1 : M^3(3,1) \longrightarrow M^3(3,1)$, by Theorem (3.1) *there is a Hom-Malcev superalgebra $M^3(3,1)_{\alpha_1} = (M^3(3,1), [-,-]_{\alpha_1}, \alpha_1)$ whose multiplication table is :*

$[-,-]_{\alpha_1}$	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4
e_1	0	0	$-a^2e_1$	0
e_2	0	0	$2a^2e_2$	0
e_3	a^2e_1	$-2a^2e_2$	0	$-ae_4$
e_4	0	0	ae_4	$a^2(e_1+e_2)$

Note that $(M^3(3,1), [-,-]_{\alpha_1})$ is in general not a Hom-Lie superalgebra. Indeed, we have

$$\widetilde{J}(e_3, e_4, e_4) = a^4(e_1 - 2e_2).$$

Then $\widetilde{J}(e_3, e_4, e_4) \neq 0$ whenever $a \neq 0$. So $(M^3(3,1), [-,-]_{\alpha_1})$ is not a Hom-Lie superalgebra.
Also, in general $(M^3(3,1), [-,-]_{\alpha_1})$ is not a Malcev superalgebra. Indeed, on the one hand we have

$$\mathcal{J}(e_3, e_4, [e_4, e_3]_{\alpha_1}) - \mathcal{J}(e_4, e_4, [e_3, e_3]_{\alpha_1}) \quad = \quad a^5(2e_2 - e_1)$$

which is not equal to 0 whenever $a \neq 0$. On the other hand, we have

$$[\mathcal{J}(e_3, e_4, e_3), e_4]_{\alpha_1} - [\mathcal{J}(e_4, e_4, e_3), e_4]_{\alpha_1} \quad = \quad -(a^6 + a^5)e_1 + (2a^5 - 4a^6)e_2 - a^3e_4,$$

which is not equal to 0 whenever $a \neq 0$. Then

$$\mathcal{J}(e_3, e_4, [e_4, e_3]_{\alpha_1}) - \mathcal{J}(e_4, e_4, [e_3, e_3]_{\alpha_1}) \neq [\mathcal{J}(e_3, e_4, e_3), e_4]_{\alpha_1} - [\mathcal{J}(e_4, e_4, e_3), e_4]_{\alpha_1}.$$

So $(M^3(3,1), [-,-]_{\alpha_1})$ is not a Malcev superalgebra.
Now we provide a twisting of $M^3(3,1)$ into a Hom-Lie superalgebra. For example, consider the class of even superalgebra morphisms $\alpha_2 : M^3(3,1) \longrightarrow M^3(3,1)$ given by the matrix

$$\alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & d \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}$. By Theorem (3.1), *$M^3(3,1)_{\alpha_2} = (M^3(3,1), [-,-]_{\alpha_2}, \alpha_2)$ is a Hom-Malcev superalgebra with multiplication table :*

$[-,-]_{\alpha_2}$	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4
e_1	0	0	0	0
e_2	0	0	0	0
e_3	0	0	0	$-de_2$
e_4	0	0	de_2	0

It turns out that $\widetilde{J}_{M^3(3,1)_{\alpha_2}} = 0$, which implies that $M^3(3,1)_{\alpha_2}$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra and clearly $(M^3(3,1), [-,-]_{\alpha_2})$ is a Lie superalgebra.

4. Hom-Alternative Superalgebras are Hom-Malcev-admissible

The main purpose of this section is to show that every Hom-alternative superalgebra gives rise to a Hom-Malcev superalgebra via the super-commutator bracket (Theorem (4.1)). This means that Hom-alternative superalgebras are all Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebras, generalizing the well-known fact that alternative superalgebras are Malcev-admissible. At the end of this section, we consider a 6-dimensional, (non-Hom-Lie) Hom-Malcev superalgebra arising from the alternative superalgebra (Example (4.1)).

Definition 4.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ be a Hom-superalgebra. Define its super-commutator Hom-superalgebra as the Hom-superalgebra*

$$\mathcal{A}^- = (\mathcal{A}, [-,-], \alpha)$$

where $[x,y]=\mu(x,y)-(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(y,x)$ *for all* $x,y\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.
The multiplication $[-,-]$ *is called the* **super-commutator bracket** *of* μ. *We call a Hom-superalgebra* $\mathcal{A}$ **Hom-Malcev-admissible** (*resp.* **Hom-Lie-admissible** [3]) *if* $\mathcal{A}^-$ *is a Hom-Malcev* (*resp. Hom-Lie*) *superalgebra* (*Definition (3.1)*).

It is proved in [3] that, given a Hom-associative superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$, its super-commutator Hom-superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^-$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra. Also, it is known that the super-commutator superalgebra of any alternative superalgebra is a Malcev-admissible superalgebra. The following main result of this section generalizes both of these facts. It gives us a large class of Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebras that are in general not Hom-Lie admissible.

Theorem 4.1. *Every Hom-alternative superalgebra is Hom-Malcev-admissible.*

The proof of Theorem (4.1) depends on the Hom-type analogues of some identities on alternative superalgebras, see for classical case [1, 29]. We will first establish some identities about the Hom-associator and the Hom-super-Jacobian. Then we will go back to the proof of Theorem (4.1). In what follows, we often write $\mu(x,y)$ as xy and omit the subscript in the Hom-associator $\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}$ (6.1) when there is no danger of confusion. The following result is a sort of cocycle condition for the Hom-associator of a Hom-alternative superalgebra.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *be a Hom-alternative superalgebra. Then the identity*

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(tx,\alpha(y),\alpha(z))-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(xy,\alpha(z),\alpha(t))+(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(yz,\alpha(t),\alpha(x))\\ &=\alpha^2(t)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)+\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)\alpha^2(z)\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

holds for all x,y,z *and* t *in* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.
The identity (4.17) is said to be the Hom-Teichmüller super-identity (see [4]*).*

Proof. Let x,y,z and t in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(tx,\alpha(y),\alpha(z))-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(xy,\alpha(z),\alpha(t))+(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(yz,\alpha(t),\alpha(x))\\ &=\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(tx,\alpha(y),\alpha(z))-\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),xy,\alpha(z))+\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(x),yz)\\ &=((tx)\alpha(y))\alpha^2(z)-\alpha(tx)(\alpha(y)\alpha(z))-(\alpha(t)(xy))\alpha^2(z)\\ &+\alpha^2(t)((xy)\alpha(z))+(\alpha(t)\alpha(x))\alpha(yz)-\alpha^2(t)(\alpha(x)(yz))\\ &=\Big((tx)\alpha(y)-\alpha(t)(xy)\Big)\alpha^2(z)+\alpha^2(t)\Big((xy)\alpha(z)-\alpha(x)(yz)\Big)+(\alpha(t)\alpha(x))\alpha(yz)-\alpha(tx)(\alpha(y)\alpha(z))\\ &=\alpha^2(t)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)+\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)\alpha^2(z).\end{aligned}$$

In the third equality above, we used the multiplicativity of α twice. □

In a Hom-alternative superalgebra, the Hom-associator is an super-alternating map on three variables. We now build a map on four variables using the Hom-associator that is super-alternating in a Hom-alternative superalgebra.

Definition 4.2. *Let* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *be a Hom-superalgebra. Define the* $\mathbb{Z}_2-$*graded Hom-Bruck-Kleinfled function* $f:\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$ *as the even multi-linear map*

$$\begin{aligned}f(t,x,y,z)\quad&=\quad\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(tx,\alpha(y),\alpha(z))-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)\alpha^2(t)\\ &\quad-(-1)^{|t||x|}\alpha^2(x)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,z)\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

for all x,y,z *and* t *in* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$. *Define another even multi-linear map* $F:\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$

$$F=[-,-]\circ(\alpha^2\otimes\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}})\circ(Id-\zeta+\zeta^2-\zeta^3)\tag{4.19}$$

where $[-,-]$ *is the super-commutator bracket of* μ *and* ζ *is the cyclic permutation*

$$\zeta(t,x,y,z)=(z,t,x,y).$$

In terms of elements, the map F *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}F(t,x,y,z)\quad&=\quad[\alpha^2(t),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)]-(-1)^{|z|(|t|+|x|+|y|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)]\\ &\quad+(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(y),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,t,x)]\\ &\quad-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(x),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,t)]\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

for all x,y,z *and* t *in* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 4.2. *Given a Hom-alternative superalgebra* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$, *we have*:

$$F = f\circ(Id-\rho+\rho^2)$$

where $\rho=\zeta^3$ *is the cyclic permutation* $\rho(t,x,y,z)=(x,y,z,t)$.
In terms of elements, the even map F *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}F(t,x,y,z) &= f(t,x,y,z)-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}f(x,y,z,t)\\ &\quad +(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|z|+|y|)}f(y,z,t,x)\end{aligned}$$

for all x,y,z *and* t *in* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. By Lemma (4.1) and definition (4.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^2(t)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)+\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)\alpha^2(z) &= \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(tx,\alpha(y),\alpha(z))\\ &\quad -(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(xy,\alpha(z),\alpha(t))\\ &\quad +(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(yz,\alpha(t),\alpha(x))\\ &= f(t,x,y,z)+(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)\alpha^2(t)\\ &\quad +(-1)^{|x||t|}\alpha^2(x)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,z)-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}f(x,y,z,t)\\ &\quad -(-1)^{|t|(|y|+|z|)+|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,t)\alpha^2(x)\\ &\quad -(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)+|x||y|}\alpha^2(y)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,z,t)\\ &\quad +(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|z|+|y|)}f(y,z,t,x)\\ &\quad +(-1)^{|z|(|t|+|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,t,x)\alpha^2(y)\\ &\quad +(-1)^{|t|(|y|+|z|)+|x|(|y|+|z|)+|y||z|}\alpha^2(z)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,t,x).\end{aligned}$$

Since the Hom-associator $\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}$ is super-alternating, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,t,x) &= (-1)^{|y|(|t|+|x|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,t,x),\\ \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,z,t) &= (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|t|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,t,x),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,z) = (-1)^{|y|(|t|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,t).$$

Therefore, rearranging terms in the above equality, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}[\alpha^2(t),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)] &= \alpha^2(t)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)\\ &\quad -(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)\alpha^2(t),\\ -(-1)^{|z|(|t|+|x|+|y|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)] &= \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)\alpha^2(z)\\ &\quad -(-1)^{|t|(|y|+|z|)+|x|(|y|+|z|)+|y||z|}\alpha^2(z)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,t,x),\\ (-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(y),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,t,x)] &= (-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)+|x||y|}\alpha^2(y)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,z,t)\\ &\quad -(-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,t,x)\alpha^2(y),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(x),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,t)] &= -(-1)^{|x||t|}\alpha^2(x)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,z)\\ &\quad +(-1)^{|t|(|y|+|z|)+|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,t)\alpha^2(x).\end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain $F=f\circ(Id-\rho+\rho^2)$ in the explicit form (4.20). $\square$

Proposition 4.1. *Let* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *be a Hom-alternative superalgebra. Then the* $\mathbb{Z}_2-$*graded Hom-Bruck-Kleinfled function* f *is super-alternating.*

Proof. Let x, y, z and t in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, by definition (4.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(t,x,y,z)+(-1)^{|t|(|z|+|x|+|t|)}F(x,y,z,t)\\
&=[\alpha^2(t),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)]-(-1)^{|z|(|t|+|x|+|y|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)]\\
&+(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(y),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,t,x)]-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(x),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,t)]\\
&+(-1)^{|t|(|z|+|x|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(x),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,t)]-[\alpha^2(t),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)]\\
&+(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)]-(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(y),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,t,x)]\\
&=0.
\end{aligned}$$

In other hand by Lemma (4.2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(t,x,y,z) &= f(t,x,y,z)-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}f(x,y,z,t)\\
&= +(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}f(y,z,t,x).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
F(t,x,y,z)+(-1)^{|t|(|z|+|x|+|z|)}F(x,y,z,t) &= f(t,x,y,z)+(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}f(z,t,x,y)\\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that f is super-alternating. □

Next, we provide further properties of the $\mathbb{Z}_2-$graded Hom-Bruck-Kleinfeld function f (Definition (4.18)). The following result gives two characterizations of the $\mathbb{Z}_2-$graded Hom-Bruck-Kleinfeld function in a Hom-alternative superalgebra $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ be a Hom-alternative superalgebra. Then the $\mathbb{Z}_2-$graded Hom-Bruck-Kleinfeld function f satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t,x,y,z) &= \frac{1}{3}F(t,x,y,z)\\
&= \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}([t,x],\alpha(y),\alpha(z))+(-1)^{(|y|+|z|)(|x|+|t|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}([y,z],\alpha(t),\alpha(x)) \qquad (4.21)
\end{aligned}$$

for all x, y, z and t in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, where $[x,y]=xy-(-1)^{|x||y|}yx$.

Proof. by Lemma (4.2), we have $F=f\circ(Id-\rho+\rho^2)$ but f is super-alternating, then $F=3f$. This proves the first identity in (4.21). It remains to prove that f is equal to the last entry in (4.21).
Since f is super-alternating, from its definition (4.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2f(t,x,y,z) &= f(t,x,y,z)-(-1)^{|x||t|}f(x,t,y,z)\\
&= \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(tx,\alpha(y),\alpha(z))-(-1)^{(|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)\alpha^2(t)\\
&\quad -(-1)^{|x||t|}\alpha^2(x)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,z)-(-1)^{|x||t|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(xt,\alpha(y),\alpha(z))\\
&\quad +(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,z)\alpha^2(x)+\alpha^2(t)\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z).
\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}([t,x],\alpha(y),\alpha(z)) &= (-1)^{|x||t|}[\alpha^2(x),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,z)]-[\alpha^2(t),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)]+2f(t,x,y,z)\\
&= (-1)^{(|t|(|x|+|y|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(x),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,t)]\\
&\quad -[\alpha^2(t),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)]+2f(t,x,y,z), \qquad (4.22)
\end{aligned}$$

in which $\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,z)=(-1)^{|t|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,t)$ because $\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}$ is super-alternating. Interchanging (t,x) with (y,z) in (4.22) and using the super-alternativity of f , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}([y,z],\alpha(t),\alpha(x)) &= (-1)^{(|y|(|t|+|x|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)]\\
&\quad -(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(y),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,t,x)]\\
&\quad +2(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}f(y,z,t,x)\\
&= (-1)^{(|y|(|t|+|x|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)]\\
&\quad -(-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}[\alpha^2(y),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,t,x)]\\
&\quad +2f(t,x,y,z). \qquad (4.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (4.22) and (4.23), we have:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}([t,x],\alpha(y),\alpha(z)) & + & (-1)^{(|t|+|x|)(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}([y,z],\alpha(t),\alpha(x)) \\ & = & (4f-F)(t,x,y,z).\end{array}$$

Since $F=3f$. This proves that f is equal to to the last entry in (4.21). ☐

The following result is the Hom-type analogue of part of [14] and says that every Hom-alternative superalgebra satisfies a variation of the Hom-Malcev super-identity (3.9) in which the Hom-super-Jacobian is replaced by the Hom-associator.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ be a Hom-alternative superalgebra. Then*

$$\begin{array}{rcl}2[\alpha^2(t),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)] & = & \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(x),[y,z]) \\ & & +(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[z,x]) \\ & & +(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(z),[x,y])\end{array}\tag{4.24}$$

for all x,y,z and t in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, where $[-,-]$ is the super-commutator bracket.

Next we consider the relationship between the Hom-associator (6.1) in a Hom-superalgebra $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ and the Hom-super-Jacobian (6.32) in its super-commutator Hom-superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^-=(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ (Definition (4.1)).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ be a Hom-superalgebra. Then*

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(x,y,z) & = & \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,x) \\ & & +(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,x,y)-(-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,x,z) \\ & & -(-1)^{|y||z|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,z,y)-(-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,y,x)\end{array}$$

for all x,y,z in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ be a Hom-superalgebra. Then for all x,y,z in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(x,y,z)\\ &=[[x,y],\alpha(z)]-[\alpha(x),[y,z]-(-1)^{|y||z|}[[x,z],\alpha(y)]\\ &=[xy-(-1)^{|x||y|}yx,\alpha(z)]-[\alpha(x),yz-(-1)^{|y||z|}zy]-(-1)^{|y||z|}[xz-(-1)^{|x||z|}zx,\alpha(y)]\\ &=\Big((xy)\alpha(z)-(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\alpha(z)(xy)-(-1)^{|x||y|}(yx)\alpha(z)+(-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\alpha(z)(yx)\Big)\\ &-\Big(\alpha(x)(yz)-(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}(yz)\alpha(x)-(-1)^{|y||z|}\alpha(x)(zy)+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)+|y||z|}(zy)\alpha(x)\Big)\\ &-(-1)^{|y||z|}\Big((xz)\alpha(y)-(-1)^{|y|(|x|+|z|)}\alpha(y)(xz)-(-1)^{|x||z|}(zx)\alpha(y)+(-1)^{|x||z|+|y|(|x|+|z|)}\alpha(y)(zx)\Big)\\ &=\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,x)+(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,x,y)\\ &-(-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,x,z)-(-1)^{|y||z|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,z,y)-(-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,y,x),\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ☐

Proposition 4.3. *In a Hom-alternative superalgebra $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$, the identity*

$$\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}=6\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}$$

holds.

Proof. Since the Hom-associator $\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}$ is super-alternating, with the notations in Lemma (4.3), we have:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,x) & = & \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z),\\ (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,x,y) & = & \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z),\\ -(-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,x,z) & = & \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z),\\ -(-1)^{|y||z|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,z,y) & = & \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z),\\ -(-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,y,x) & = & \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z).\end{array}$$

Then the result follows from Lemma (4.3). ☐

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof. (Theorem (4.1)) Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ be a Hom-alternative superalgebra and $\mathcal{A}^-=(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ be its super-commutator Hom-superalgebra. The super-commutator bracket $[-,-]$ is super skewsymmetric. Thus, it remains to show that the Hom-Malcev super-identity (3.9) holds in $\mathcal{A}^-$, that is

$$\begin{aligned}2[\alpha^2(t),\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(x,y,z)] &= \widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(t),\alpha(x),[y,z])+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[z,x])\\ &\quad +(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(t),\alpha(z),[x,y]).\end{aligned}$$

To prove this, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}2[\alpha^2(t),\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(x,y,z)] &= 2[\alpha^2(t),6\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)] \qquad \text{(by Proposition 4.3)}\\ &= 6\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(x),[y,z])+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[z,x])\\ &\quad +(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(z),[x,y])\Big) \qquad \text{(by Proposition 4.2)}\\ &= \widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(t),\alpha(x),[y,z])+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[z,x])\\ &\quad +(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(t),\alpha(z),[x,y]) \qquad \text{(by Proposition 4.3).}\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 4.1. *In this example, we describe a Hom-alternative superalgebra (hence a Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebra by Theorem (4.1)) that is not Hom-Lie-admissible and not alternative. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic 3 and let $B(4,2)=B_0\oplus B_1$ be a 6-dimensional simple alternative superalgebra (see [14, 20]), where $B_0=M_2(\mathbb{F})$ and $B_1=\mathbb{F}\cdot m_1+\mathbb{F}\cdot m_2$ is the 2-dimensional irreducible Cayley bi-module over B_0. Now $B(4,2)$ has basis $\{e_{11},e_{12},e_{21},e_{22},m_1,m_2\}$, where the product, with respect to the basis, is given by the following table :*

μ	e_{11}	e_{12}	e_{21}	e_{22}	m_1	m_2
e_{11}	e_{11}	e_{12}	0	0	m_1	0
e_{12}	0	0	e_{11}	e_{12}	m_2	0
e_{21}	e_{21}	e_{22}	0	0	0	m_1
e_{22}	0	0	e_{21}	e_{22}	0	m_2
m_1	0	$-m_2$	0	m_1	$-e_{21}$	e_{11}
m_2	m_2	0	$-m_1$	0	$-e_{22}$	e_{12}

The even superalgebra endomorphisms α with respect to the basis $\{e_{11},e_{12},e_{21},e_{22},m_1,m_2\}$ are defined by the matrix

$$\alpha=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{-a}{b} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ a & b & -\frac{1}{b} & -a & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{b} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{a}{b} & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \pm a\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}} & \pm b\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a, b \neq 0$. *According to Theorem* (2.1), *the even linear map* α *an the following multiplication*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_\alpha(e_{11}, e_{11}) = e_{11} + ae_{12},\ \mu_\alpha(e_{11}, e_{12}) = \mu_\alpha(e_{11}, e_{22}) = \mu_\alpha(e_{11}, m_1) = 0,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_{11}, e_{21}) = \frac{-a}{b}e_{11} - \frac{1}{b}e_{12} + \frac{1}{b}e_{21} + \frac{a}{b}e_{22},\ \mu_\alpha(e_{11}, m_2) = \pm b\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_2,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_{12}, e_{11}) = be_{12},\ \mu_\alpha(e_{12}, e_{12}) = \mu_\alpha(e_{12}, e_{22}) = \mu_\alpha(e_{12}, m_2) = 0,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_{12}, e_{21}) = -ae_{12} + \frac{1}{b}e_{21} + e_{22},\ \mu_\alpha(e_{12}, m_1) = \pm b\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_2,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_{21}, e_{12}) = e_{11} + ae_{12},\ \mu_\alpha(e_{21}, e_{11}) = \mu_\alpha(e_{21}, e_{21}) = \mu_\alpha(e_{21}, m_1) = 0,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_{21}, e_{22}) = \frac{-a}{b}e_{11} - \frac{1}{b}e_{12} + \frac{1}{b}e_{21} + \frac{a}{b}e_{22},\ \mu_\alpha(e_{21}, m_2) = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_1 \pm a\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_2,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_{22}, e_{11}) = \mu_\alpha(e_{22}, e_{21}) = \mu_\alpha(e_{22}, m_2) = 0,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_{22}, e_{12}) = be_{12},\ \mu_\alpha(e_{22}, e_{22}) = -ae_{12} + e_{22},\ \mu_\alpha(e_{22}, m_1) = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_1 \pm a\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_2,\\
&\mu_\alpha(m_1, e_{11}) = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_1 \pm a\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_2,\ \mu_\alpha(m_1, e_{11}) = \mu_\alpha(m_1, e_{21}) = 0,\\
&\mu_\alpha(m_1, e_{12}) = \pm b\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_2,\ \mu_\alpha(m_1, m_1) = \frac{a}{b}e_{11} + \frac{1}{b}e_{12} - \frac{1}{b}e_{21} - \frac{a}{b}e_{22},\ \mu_\alpha(m_1, m_2) = ae_{12} - e_{22},\\
&\mu_\alpha(m_2, e_{21}) = \pm\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_1 \pm a\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_2,\ \mu_\alpha(m_2, e_{11}) = \mu_\alpha(m_2, e_{21}) = 0,\\
&\mu_\alpha(m_2, e_{22}) = \pm b\sqrt{\frac{1}{b}}m_2,\ \mu_\alpha(m_2, m_1) = e_{11} + ae_{12},\ \mu_\alpha(m_2, m_2) = be_{12},
\end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \neq 0$. *determine a* 6*-dimensional Hom-alternative* (*hence Hom-Malcev-admissible and Hom-flexible*) *superalgebra.*
Note that $B(4,2)_\alpha$ *is not alternative because*

$$\begin{aligned}
as_{B(4,2)_\alpha}(e_{11}, e_{21}, e_{22}) + as_{B(4,2)_\alpha}(e_{21}, e_{11}, e_{22}) &= \frac{a}{b}(e_{11} + e_{22})\\
&\neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, $B(4,2)_\alpha$ *is not Hom-Lie-admissible, that is* $B(4,2)_\alpha^-$ *is not Hom-Lie superalgebra. Indeed, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{J}_{B(4,2)_\alpha^-}(e_{11}, e_{21}, e_{22}) &= 6as_{B(4,2)_\alpha}(e_{11}, e_{21}, e_{22})\\
&= 6\frac{a}{b}(e_{11} + e_{22})\\
&\neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $B(4,2)_\alpha$ *is a Hom-alternative* (*and hence Hom-Malcev-admissible and Hom-flexible*) *superalgebra that is neither alternative nor Hom-Lie-admissible.*
Also, $(B(4,2), [-,-]_\alpha)$ *is not a Malcev superalgebra. Indeed, on the one hand we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{J}(e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{21}), e_{22}]_\alpha + [\mathcal{J}(e_{22}, e_{12}, e_{21}), e_{11}]_\alpha &= (\frac{2a}{b} + 1 + \frac{1}{b^2})e_{11} + (4ab^2 - 2a + \frac{3}{b} + \frac{1}{b^2})e_{12}\\
&+ (\frac{1}{b^2} + 1 - \frac{2}{b^2})e_{21} + (1 - \frac{2a}{b} + \frac{1}{b^2})e_{22},
\end{aligned}$$

on the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}(e_{11}, e_{12}, [e_{22}, e_{21}]_\alpha) + \mathcal{J}(e_{22}, e_{12}, [e_{11}, e_{21}]_\alpha) &= (-\frac{2a}{b^3} - 2 + \frac{2}{b^2})e_{11} + (2 + \frac{2a}{b^3} - \frac{2}{b^2})e_{22}\\
&+ \frac{2}{b^2}e_{21} + (2b^2 + a + \frac{4a}{b^2} - \frac{2}{b^3} + 2ab + \frac{5a}{b})e_{12},
\end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \neq 0$. *Then*

$$[\mathcal{J}(e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{21}), e_{22}]_\alpha + [\mathcal{J}(e_{22}, e_{12}, e_{21}), e_{11}]_\alpha \neq \mathcal{J}(e_{11}, e_{12}, [e_{22}, e_{21}]_\alpha) + \mathcal{J}(e_{22}, e_{12}, [e_{11}, e_{21}]_\alpha).$$

So, $(B(4,2), [-,-]_\alpha)$ *does not satisfy the Malcev super-identity.*

5. Hom-Malcev-Admissible Superalgebras

In Theorem (4.1) we showed that every Hom-alternative superalgebra is Hom-Malcev-admissible. The purpose of this section is to introduce and study the class of Hom-flexible, Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebras. We give several characterizations of Hom-flexible superalgebra that are Hom-Malcev-admissible in terms of the cyclic Hom-associator (Proposition (5.1)). Then we prove the analogue of the construction results, Theorem (2.1) and Theorem (2.1). We consider examples of Hom-flexible, Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebras that are neither Hom-alternative nor Hom-Lie-admissible.
To state our characterizations of Hom-flexible superalgebras that are Hom-Malcev-admissible, we need the following definitions:

Definition 5.1. *A* Hom-flexible superalgebra *is a triple* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *consisting of* $\mathbb{Z}_2-$*graded vector space* $\mathcal{A}$*, an even bilinear map* $\mu:\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$ *and an even homomorphism*
$\alpha:\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$ *satisfying the* Hom-flexible super-identity*, that is for any* $x,y,z\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$*,*

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)+(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|+|y||z|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,y,x)=0. \tag{5.25}$$

It follows from the definition and by Lemma (2.1) that Hom-alternative superalgebra is Hom-flexible superalgebra. Also, when $\alpha=Id$ in Definition (5.1), we recover the usual notion of flexible superalgebra.

Definition 5.2. *Let* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *be any Hom-superalgebra. Define the* cyclic Hom-associator $S_{\mathcal{A}}:\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$ *as the even multi-linear map*

$$S_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z) \;=\; \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,x)+(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,x,y),$$

for all $x,y,z\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$*, where* $\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}$ *is the Hom-associator* (2.4).

We will use the following preliminary observations about the relationship between the cyclic Hom-associator and the Hom-super-Jacobian (2.5) of the super-commutator Hom-superalgebra (Definition (4.1)):

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *be a Hom-flexible superalgebra. Then we have*

$$\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}=2S_{\mathcal{A}}, \tag{5.26}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^-=(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ *is the super-commutator Hom-superalgebra.*

Proof. Assume that $x,y,z\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, by Lemma (4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(x,y,z) &= \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,z,x)\\ &+ (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,x,y)-(-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,x,z)\\ &- (-1)^{|y||z|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,z,y)-(-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,y,x)\\ &= 2S_{\mathcal{A}} \qquad \text{(by Hom-super flexibility).}\end{aligned}$$

□

The following result gives characterizations of Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebras in terms of cyclic Hom-associator, assuming Hom-flexibility:

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *be a Hom-flexible superalgebra and* $\mathcal{A}^-=(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ *be the super-commutator Hom-superalgebra. Then the following statements are equivalent*:

1. $\mathcal{A}$ *is Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebra.*
2. *The equality*

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[t,z])+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[x,z])\\ =\;&(-1)^{|t||z|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(x,y,z),\alpha^2(t)]+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(t,y,z),\alpha^2(x)]\end{aligned}$$

   holds for all $x,y,z,t\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.
3. *The equality*

$$\begin{aligned}&S_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[t,z])+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}S_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[x,z])\\ =\;&(-1)^{|t||z|}[S_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z),\alpha^2(t)]+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[S_{\mathcal{A}}(t,y,z),\alpha^2(x)]\end{aligned}$$

   holds for all $x,y,z,t\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

The following construction results for Hom-flexible and Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebras are the analogues of Theorems (2.1) and (2.1).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ be a Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebra and $\beta:\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$ be an even Malcev-admissible superalgebra endomorphism. Then $\mathcal{A}_\beta=(\mathcal{A},\mu_\beta=\beta\circ\mu,\beta\alpha)$ is a Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebra.*

Proof. The super-commutator Hom-superalgebra of $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ is $\mathcal{A}^-=(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$, where $[x,y]=\mu(x,y)-(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(y,x)$ which is a Hom-Malcev superalgebra by assumption. In particular, the Malcev super-identity

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,[t,z])+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,y,[x,z])\\ =\ &(-1)^{|t||z|}[\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y,z),t]+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}}(t,y,z),x]\end{aligned}\tag{5.27}$$

holds. The super-commutator Hom-superalgebra of the induced Hom-superalgebra $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ is $\mathcal{A}^-_\beta=(\mathcal{A},[-,-]_\beta,\beta\alpha)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}[x,y]_\beta&=\mu_\beta(x,y)-(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu_\beta(y,x)\\&=\beta\circ[x,y]\end{aligned}\tag{5.28}$$

which is super skewsymmetric. We must show that $\mathcal{A}^-_\beta$ satisfies the Hom-Malcev super-identity. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-_\beta}(x,y,z)&=[[x,y]_\beta,\beta\alpha(z)]_\beta-[\beta\alpha(x),[y,z]_\beta]_\beta-(-1)^{|y||z|}[[x,z]_\beta,\beta\alpha(y)]_\beta\\&=\beta^2\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(x,y,z).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-_\beta}(\beta\alpha(x),\beta\alpha(y),[t,z]_\beta)+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-_\beta}(\beta\alpha(t),\beta\alpha(y),[x,z]_\beta)\\&=\beta^2\circ\Big(\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\beta\alpha(x),\beta\alpha(y),\beta([t,z]))+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\beta\alpha(t),\beta\alpha(y),\beta([x,z]))\Big)\\&=\beta^3\circ\Big(\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[t,z])+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[x,z])\Big)\\&=\beta^3\circ\Big((-1)^{|t||z|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(x,y,z),\alpha^2(t)]+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(t,y,z),\alpha^2(x)]\Big)\\&=(-1)^{|t||z|}[\beta^2\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(x,y,z),(\beta\alpha)^2(t)]_\beta+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\beta^2\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(t,y,z),(\beta\alpha)^2(x)]_\beta\\&=(-1)^{|t||z|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-_\beta}(x,y,z),(\beta\alpha)^2(t)]_\beta+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-_\beta}(t,y,z),(\beta\alpha)^2(x)]_\beta.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the Hom-Malcev super-identity holds in $\mathcal{A}^-_\beta$. ☐

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ be a Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebra. Then the derived Hom-superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^n=(\mathcal{A},\mu^{(n)}=\alpha^{2^n-1}\circ\mu,\alpha^{2^n})$ is also a Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebra for each $n\geq 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ is a Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebra. Note that the super-commutator Hom-superalgebra of the nth derived Hom-superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^n$ is $(\mathcal{A}^n)^-=(\mathcal{A},[-,-]^{(n)},\alpha^{2^n})$, where

$$\begin{aligned}[x,y]^{(n)}&=\mu^{(n)}(x,y)-(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu^{(n)}(y,x)\\&=\alpha^{2^n-1}\circ(\mu(x,y)-(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(y,x))\\&=\alpha^{2^n-1}\circ[x,y].\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$(\mathcal{A}^n)^-=(\mathcal{A}^-)^n\tag{5.29}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^-=(\mathcal{A},[-,-],\alpha)$ is the super-commutator Hom-superalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{A}^-)^n$ is its nth derived Hom-superalgebra. Since $[-,-]^{(n)}$ is super skewsymmetric. We must show that $(\mathcal{A}^n)^-$ satisfies the Hom-Malcev super-identity. To do that, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{J}_{(\mathcal{A}^n)^-}&=\widetilde{J}_{(\mathcal{A}^-)^n}\\&=\alpha^{2^n-1}\circ\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.30}$$

Using (3.16) and (5.30) we compute as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{J}_{(\mathcal{A}^n)^-}(\alpha^{2^n}(x),\alpha^{2^n}(y),[t,z]^{(n)})+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{(\mathcal{A}^n)^-}(\alpha^{2^n}(t),\alpha^{2^n}(y),[x,z]^{(n)})\\
&=\alpha^{2^n-1}\circ\Big(\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha^{2^n}(x),\alpha^{2^n}(y),\alpha^{2^n-1}([t,z]))\\
&\ +(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha^{2^n}(t),\alpha^{2^n}(y),\alpha^{2^n-1}([x,z]))\Big)\\
&=\alpha^{3(2^n-1)}\circ\Big(\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),[t,z])+(-1)^{|x||y|+|t|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{J}_{\mathcal{A}^-}(\alpha(t),\alpha(y),[x,z])\Big)\\
&=(-1)^{|t||z|}[\widetilde{J}_{(\mathcal{A}^n)^-}(x,y,z),(\alpha^{2^n})^2(t)]^{(n)}+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|z|+|t|)+|t||y|}[\widetilde{J}_{(\mathcal{A}^n)^-}(t,y,z),(\alpha^{2^n})^2(x)]^{(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have shown that $(\mathcal{A}^n)^-$ satisfies the Hom-Malcev super-identity. So $\mathcal{A}^n$ is Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebra. □

Theorem 5.3.

1. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ be a Hom-flexible superalgebra and $\beta:\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$ be an even flexible superalgebra endomorphism. Then $\mathcal{A}_\beta=(\mathcal{A},\mu_\beta=\beta\circ\mu,\beta\alpha)$ is a Hom-flexible superalgebra.*
2. *Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ be a Hom-flexible superalgebra. Then the derived Hom-superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^n=(\mathcal{A},\mu^{(n)}=\alpha^{2^n-1}\circ\mu,\alpha^{2^n})$ is also a Hom-flexible superalgebra for each $n\geq 0$.*

Proof. For the first assertion, for any superalgebra $(\mathcal{A},\mu)$, we regard it as the Hom-superalgebra $(\mathcal{A},\mu,Id)$ with identity twisting map. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}}(x,y,z) &= \mu_\beta(\mu_\beta(x,y),\beta\alpha(z))-\mu_\beta(\beta\alpha(x),\mu_\beta(y,z)\\
&= \beta^2\circ(\mu(\mu(x,y),\alpha(z))-\mu(\alpha(x),\mu(y,z)))\\
&= \beta^2\circ\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}}=\beta^2\circ\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}.$$

Now for a flexible superalgebra $(\mathcal{A},\mu)$, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}}(x,y,z) &= \beta^2\circ\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,z)\\
&= -(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|+|y||z|}\beta^2\circ\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(z,y,x)\\
&= -(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|+|y||z|}as_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}(z,y,x),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}}(x,y,z)+(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||z|+|y||z|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}}(z,y,x)=0.$$

So $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ is Hom-flexible superalgebra. □

5.1. Examples of Hom-flexible superalgebras.

We construct examples of Hom-flexible superalgebras using Theorem (5.3).

Example 5.1. (*Hom-flexible superalgebra of dimension* 3). *We consider the simple flexible superalgebra $K_3(\beta,\gamma,\eta)=(K_3)_0\oplus(K_3)_1$ (see [20]), where $(K_3)_0=span\{e_1\}$ and $(K_3)_1=span\{e_2,e_3\}$, endowed with a product given by the following multiplication table*:

μ	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	$\beta e_2+\gamma e_3$	$(1-\beta)e_3+\eta e_2$
e_2	$(1-\beta)e_2-\gamma e_3$	$-2\gamma e_1$	$2\beta e_1$
e_3	$-\eta e_2+\beta e_3$	$-2(1-\beta)e_1$	$2\eta e_1$

Even superalgebra endomorphisms α with respect to the basis $\{e_1,e_2,e_3\}$ are defined by the matrix

$$\alpha=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & a & \frac{\eta b}{\gamma}\\ 0 & \frac{-a+\sqrt{-4\gamma\eta+a^2+4\gamma\eta a^2}}{2\eta} & \frac{\gamma a-b}{\gamma}\end{pmatrix}$$

with $\beta = 1$ *and* $\gamma, \eta \neq 0$. *According to Theorem* (5.3), *the even linear map* α *an the following multiplication*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_\alpha(e_1,e_1) = e_1,\ \mu_\alpha(e_1,e_2) = \Big(a(1-\beta) - \eta b\Big)e_2 + \Big((1-\beta)\frac{-a+\sqrt{-4\gamma\eta + a^2 + 4\gamma\eta a^2}}{2\eta} + (b-\gamma a)\Big)e_3,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_1,e_3) = \Big(\frac{b\beta\eta}{\gamma} - \eta a\Big)e_2 + \Big(\frac{a-\sqrt{-4\gamma\eta + a^2 + 4\gamma\eta a^2}}{2} + \frac{\beta(\gamma a - b)}{\gamma}\Big)e_3,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_2,e_1) = \Big(\beta a + \gamma b\Big)e_2 + \Big((\gamma a - b) + \beta\frac{-a+\sqrt{-4\gamma\eta + a^2 + 4\gamma\eta a^2}}{2\eta}\Big)e_3,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_2,e_2) = -2\gamma e_1,\ \mu_\alpha(e_2,e_3) = -2(1-\beta)e_1,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_3,e_1) = (\eta a + \frac{(1-\beta)b\eta}{\gamma})e_2 + \Big(\frac{(1-\beta)(\gamma a - b)}{\gamma} + (\frac{-a+\sqrt{-4\gamma\eta + a^2 + 4\gamma\eta a^2}}{2})\Big)e_3,\\
&\mu_\alpha(e_3,e_2) = 2\beta e_1,\ \mu_\alpha(e_3,e_3) = 2\eta e_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ *and* $\gamma, \eta \neq 0$, *determine* 3*-dimensional Hom-flexible, Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebra.*

Example 5.2. (*Hom-flexible superalgebras of dimension* 4). *Let* $\beta \in \mathbb{K}$ *and* $t \neq 0$. *Define a superalgebra* $U = D_t(\beta)$ *(see* [20]*), where* $U_0 = span\{e_1, e_2\}$ *and* $U_1 = span\{x, y\}$ *by setting*

μ	e_1	e_2	x	y
e_1	e_1	0	$(1-\beta)y$	βy
e_2	0	e_2	βx	$(1-\beta)y$
x	βx	βx	0	$-2((1-\beta)e_1 + \beta t e_2)$
y	$(1-\beta)y$	βy	$2(\beta e_1 + (1-\beta)te_2)$	0

Then (U, μ) *is a simple flexible, Malcev-admissible superalgebra.*
Even superalgebra endomorphisms α *with respect to the basis* $\{e_1, e_2, x, y\}$ *are defined by the matrix*

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & a & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{a} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a \neq 0$. *According to Theorem* (5.3), *the even linear map* α *an the following multiplication table*:

μ_α	e_1	e_2	x	y
e_1	e_1	0	$\frac{(1-\beta)}{a}y$	$\frac{\beta}{a}y$
e_2	0	e_2	$\beta a x$	$\frac{(1-\beta)}{a}y$
x	$\beta a x$	$\beta a x$	0	$-2((1-\beta)e_1 + \beta t e_2)$
y	$\frac{(1-\beta)}{a}y$	$\frac{\beta}{a}y$	$2(\beta e_1 + (1-\beta)te_2)$	0

where $a \neq 0$, *determine 4-dimensional Hom-flexible, Hom-Malcev-admissible superalgebra.*
Note that U_α *is not Hom-alternative superalgebra because*

$$\widetilde{as_{U_\alpha}}(e_2, x, y) + \widetilde{as_{U_\alpha}}(x, e_2, y) \quad = \quad 2\beta^2 e_1 + (\beta - 2)(1-\beta)te_2$$

$\widetilde{as_{U_\alpha}}(e_2, x, y) + \widetilde{as_{U_\alpha}}(x, e_2, y) \neq 0$ *whenever* $\beta \neq 0, 1, 2$. *So* (U, μ_α, α) *does not satisfy the Hom-alternative super-identity, therefore, is not Hom-alternative.*
Also, U_α *is not Hom-Lie-admissible superalgebra because*

$$\widetilde{J}_{(U_\alpha)^-}(e_1, e_2, x) = \frac{(1-\beta)(2\beta - 1)}{a^2}y$$

$\widetilde{J}_{(U_\alpha)^-}(e_1, e_2, x) \neq 0$ *whenever* $\beta \neq 1, \frac{1}{2}$ *and* $a \neq 0$.
Finally (U, μ_α) *is not Malcev-admissible, i.e.,* $(U, [-,-]_\alpha)$ *is not Malcev superalgebra. Indeed, let* $\mathcal{J}$ *the usual super-Jacobian of* $(U, [-,-]_\alpha)$ *as in* (1.2). *Then, on the one hand, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}(e_1, e_2, [x,y]_\alpha) + \mathcal{J}(x, e_2, [e_1,y]_\alpha) \quad &= \quad [[e_1,e_2]_\alpha, [x,y]_\alpha]_\alpha - [e_1, [e_2, [x,y]_\alpha]_\alpha - [e_1, [x,y]_\alpha]_\alpha, e_2]_\alpha\\
&+ \quad [[x,e_2]_\alpha, [e_1,y]_\alpha]_\alpha - [x, [e_2, [e_1,y]_\alpha]_\alpha - [x, [e_1,y]_\alpha]_\alpha, e_2]_\alpha\\
&= \quad \frac{2(1-\beta)(1-2\beta)}{a^2}e_1 + \frac{2t(2-\beta)(2\beta-1)}{a^2}e_2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{J}(e_1,e_2,y),x]_\alpha+[\mathcal{J}(x,e_2,y),e_1]_\alpha &= [[[e_1,e_2]_\alpha,y]_\alpha,x]_\alpha-[[e_1,[e_2,y]_\alpha]_\alpha,x]_\alpha-[[[e_1,y]_\alpha,e_2]_\alpha,x]_\alpha\\ &+ [[[x,e_2]_\alpha,y]_\alpha,e_1]_\alpha-[[x,[e_2,y]_\alpha]_\alpha,e_1]_\alpha-[[[x,y]_\alpha,e_2]_\alpha,e_1]_\alpha\\ &= \frac{4\beta(\beta-1)(2\beta-1)}{a^2}e_1+\frac{4\beta t(\beta-1)(1-2\beta)}{a^2}e_2.\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{J}(e_1,e_2,[x,y]_\alpha)+\mathcal{J}(x,e_2,[e_1,y]_\alpha)\neq[\mathcal{J}(e_1,e_2,y),x]_\alpha+[\mathcal{J}(x,e_2,y),e_1]_\alpha$ *whenever* $\beta\neq 0,\frac{1}{2},1,2$ *and* $a\neq 0$. *So* $(U,[-,-]_\alpha)$ *does not satisfy the Malcev super-identity and, therefore, is not a Malcev superalgebra. Then* (U,μ_α) *does not in general a Malcev-admissible superalgebra.*

6. Hom-Alternative Superalgebras are Hom-Jordan-admissible

We introduce in this section Hom-Jordan (−admissible) superalgebras and we show in Theorem (6.1) that every Hom-alternative superalgebras are Hom-Jordan-admissible. Then we prove Theorems (6.2) and (6.3), which are constructions results for Hom-Jordan and Hom-Jordan admissible superalgebras. In Examples (6.2) and (6.3) we construct Hom-Jordan superalgebras from the 3-dimensional Kaplansky Jordan superalgebra and from the 4-dimensional simple Jordan superalgebra D_t where $t\neq 0$.
Let us begin with some relevant definitions.

Definition 6.1. *Let* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *be a Hom-superalgebra. Define its* plus *Hom-superalgebras as the Hom-superalgebra* $\mathcal{A}^+=(\mathcal{A},*,\alpha)$, *where the product* $*$ *is given by*

$$x*y=\frac{1}{2}(\mu(x,y)+(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(y,x))=\frac{1}{2}(xy+(-1)^{|x||y|}yx),$$

with $\mu(x,y)=xy$. *The product* $*$ *is super-commutative.*

Definition 6.2.

(1) *A* Hom-Jordan superalgebra *is a Hom-superalgebra* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *such that* μ *is super-commutative* $(i.e.\mu(x,y)=(-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(y,x))$ *and the* Hom-Jordan super-identity

$$\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(xy,\alpha(z),\alpha(t))=0 \tag{6.31}$$

is satisfied for all x,y,z *and* t *in* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, *where* $\sum_{x,y,t}$ *denotes the cyclic sum over* (x,y,t) *and* $\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}$ *is the Hom-associator* (2.4).

(2) *A* Hom-Jordan-admissible superalgebra *is a Hom-superalgebra* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *whose plus Hom-superalgebra* $\mathcal{A}^+=(\mathcal{A},*,\alpha)$ *is a Hom-Jordan superalgebra.*

The Hom-Jordan super-identity (6.31) *can be rewritten as*

$$\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\Big(\mu(\mu(\mu(x,y),\alpha(z)),\alpha^2(t))-\mu(\alpha(\mu(x,y)),\mu(\alpha(z),\alpha(t)))\Big)=0.$$

Since the product $*$ *is super-commutative, a Hom-superalgebra* $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ *is Hom-Jordan-admissible if and only if* $\mathcal{A}^+=(\mathcal{A},*,\alpha)$ *satisfies the Hom-Jordan super-identity*

$$\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}^+}}(x*y,\alpha(z),\alpha(t))=0 \tag{6.32}$$

for all x,y,z *and* t *in* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, *where* $\sum_{x,y,t}$ *denotes summations over the cyclic permutation on* x,y,t.
Or equivalently

$$\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\Big(((x*y)*\alpha(z))*\alpha^2(t)-\alpha(x*y)*(\alpha(z)*\alpha(t))\Big)=0$$

for all x,y,z *and* t *in* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, *where* $\sum_{x,y,t}$ *denotes the cyclic sum over* (x,y,t).

Example 6.1. *A Jordan (-admissible) superalgebra is a Hom-Jordan (−admissible) superalgebra with* $\alpha=Id$, *since the Hom-Jordan super-identity* (6.32) *with* $\alpha=Id$ *is the Jordan super-identity* (1.3). *We refer to* [1, 12, 18, 21, 24, 28] *for discussions about structure of Jordan superalgebras.*

Remark 6.1. *In* [15]*, a Hom-Jordan algebra is defined as a commutative Hom-algebra satisfying* $\sum\limits_{x,y,t} \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(xy, z, \alpha(t)) = 0$*, which becomes our Hom-Jordan super-identity* (6.32) *if* z *is replaced by* $\alpha(z)$*. Using this definition of a Hom-Jordan algebra, Hom-alternative superalgebras are not Hom-Jordan-admissible.*

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ *be a multiplicative Hom-alternative superalgebra. Then* $\mathcal{A}$ *is Hom-Jordan-admissible, in the sense that the Hom-superalgebra*

$$\mathcal{A}^+ = (\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$$

is a multiplicative Hom-Jordan superalgebra, where $x * y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + (-1)^{|x||y|}yx)$*.*

To prove Theorem (6.1), we will use the following preliminary observation.

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ *be any Hom-superalgebra and* $\mathcal{A}^+ = (\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ *be its plus Hom-superalgebra. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{x,y,t} 4(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}^+}}(x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(t))\\
&= \sum_{x,y,t} \Big((-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(xy, \alpha(z), \alpha(t)) + (-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)+|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(yx, \alpha(z), \alpha(t))\\
&-(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)+|y|(|x|+|t|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t), \alpha(z), yx) - (-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|)+|y|(|x|+|t|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t), \alpha(z), yx)\\
&-(-1)^{|t||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t), xy, \alpha(z)) - (-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t), yx, \alpha(z))\\
&+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|t|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(z), yx, \alpha(t)) + (-1)^{|t||x|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(z), xy, \alpha(t))\\
&-(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)+|t||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(z), \alpha(t), xy) + (-1)^{|t||x|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(xy, \alpha(t), \alpha(z))\\
&-(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)+|y|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(z), \alpha(t), xy) + (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|t|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(yx, \alpha(t), \alpha(z))\Big)\\
&+(-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z), \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y, t, x)] + (-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z), \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t, y, x)]\\
&+(-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z), \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t, x, y)] + (-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z), \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, t, y)]\\
&+(-1)^{|x||t|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z), \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x, y, t)] + (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|t|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z), \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y, x, t)]
\end{aligned}\tag{6.33}$$

for all $x, y, z, t \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$*, where* $[-,-]$ *is the super-commutator bracket*
(i.e. $[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{|x||y|}yx$ *) and where* $\sum\limits_{x,y,t}$ *denotes the cyclic sum over* (x, y, t)*.*

Proof. As usual we write $\mu(x, y)$ as the juxtaposition xy. Starting from the left-hand side of (6.33), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{x,y,t} 4(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}^+}}(x * y, \alpha(z), \alpha(t))\\
&= \sum_{x,y,t} 4(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\Big(((x * y) * \alpha(z)) * \alpha^2(t) - \alpha(x * y) * (\alpha(z) * \alpha(t))\Big)\\
&= \sum_{x,y,t} \Big((-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}((xy)\alpha(z))\alpha^2(t) + (-1)^{|t||y|}\alpha^2(t)((xy)\alpha(z))\\
&+(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)+|z|(|x|+|y|)}(\alpha(z)(xy))\alpha^2(t) + (-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\alpha^2(t)(\alpha(z)(xy))\\
&+(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)+|x||y|}((yx)\alpha(z))\alpha^2(t) + (-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)}\alpha^2(t)((yx)\alpha(z))\\
&+(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)+|y|(|x|+|t|)}\alpha^2(t)(\alpha(z)(yx)) + (-1)^{|x|(|t|+|y|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(z)(yx))\alpha^2(t)\\
&-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\alpha(xy)(\alpha(z)\alpha(t)) - (-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(z)\alpha(t))\alpha(xy)\\
&-(-1)^{|t||x|}\alpha(xy)(\alpha(t)\alpha(z)) - (-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|)}(\alpha(t)\alpha(z))\alpha(xy)\\
&-(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)+|x||y|}\alpha(yx)(\alpha(z)\alpha(t)) - (-1)^{|y|(|t|+|x|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(z)\alpha(t))\alpha(yx)\\
&-(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|t|)}\alpha(yx)(\alpha(t)\alpha(z)) - (-1)^{|y|(|t|+|x|)+|z|(|x|+|y|)}(\alpha(t)\alpha(z))\alpha(yx)\\
&= (-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(xy, \alpha(z), \alpha(t)) - (-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t), \alpha(z), xy)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)+|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(yx,\alpha(z),\alpha(t)) - (-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),\alpha(z),yx)\\
&+(-1)^{|t||y|}\alpha^2(t)((xy)\alpha(z)) + (-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)+|z|(|x|+|y|)}(\alpha(z)(xy))\alpha^2(t)\\
&+(-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)}\alpha^2(t)((yx)\alpha(z)) + (-1)^{|x|(|t|+|y|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(z)(yx))\alpha^2(t)\\
&-(-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(z)\alpha(t))\alpha(xy) - (-1)^{|t||x|}\alpha(xy)(\alpha(t)\alpha(z))\\
&-(-1)^{|y|(|t|+|x|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(z)\alpha(t))\alpha(yx) - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|t|)}\alpha(yx)(\alpha(t)\alpha(z))\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.34}
$$

Using the definition of the Hom-associator (2.4), the last eight terms in (6.34) are:

- $$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|x|(|t|+|y|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(z)(yx))\alpha^2(t)\\ &=\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|x|(|t|+|y|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(z),yx,\alpha(t))+\alpha^2(z)((yx)\alpha(t))\Big)\end{aligned}$$
- $$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t||y|}\alpha^2(t)((xy)\alpha(z))\\ &=-\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t||y|}\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),xy,\alpha(z))-(\alpha(t)(xy))\alpha^2(z)\Big)\end{aligned}$$
- $$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|x|(|t|+|y|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(z)(yx))\alpha^2(t)\\ &=\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|x|(|t|+|y|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(z),yx,\alpha(t))+\alpha^2(z)((yx)\alpha(t))\Big)\end{aligned}$$
- $$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t||y|}\alpha^2(t)((xy)\alpha(z))\\ &=-\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t||y|}\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),xy,\alpha(z))-(\alpha(t)(xy))\alpha^2(z)\Big)\end{aligned}$$
- $$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)+|z|(|x|+|y|)}(\alpha(z)(xy))\alpha^2(t)\\ &=\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(z),xy,\alpha(t))+\alpha^2(z)((xy)\alpha(t))\Big)\end{aligned}$$
- $$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)}\alpha^2(t)((yx)\alpha(z))\\ &=-\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)}\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(t),yx,\alpha(z))-(\alpha(t)(yx))\alpha^2(z)\Big)\end{aligned}$$
- $$\begin{aligned}&-\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t||x|}\alpha(xy)(\alpha(t)\alpha(z))\\ &=\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t||x|}\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(xy,\alpha(t),\alpha(z))-((xy)\alpha(t))\alpha^2(z)\Big)\end{aligned}$$
- $$\begin{aligned}&-\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|y|(|t|+|x|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(z)\alpha(t))\alpha(yx)\\ &=-\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|y|(|t|+|x|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(z),\alpha(t),yx)+\alpha^2(z)(\alpha(t)(yx))\Big)\end{aligned}$$
- $$\begin{aligned}&-\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(z)\alpha(t))\alpha(xy)\\ &=-\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\alpha(z),\alpha(t),xy)+\alpha^2(z)(\alpha(t)(xy))\Big)\end{aligned}$$

- $$-\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|t|)}\alpha(yx)(\alpha(t)\alpha(z))$$
$$=\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|t|)}\Big(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(yx,\alpha(t),\alpha(z))-((yx)\alpha(t))\alpha^2(z)\Big), \tag{6.35}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{x,y,t}\Big((-1)^{|x|(|t|+|y|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\alpha^2(z)((yx)\alpha(t))+(-1)^{|t||y|}(\alpha(t)(xy))\alpha^2(z)\\
&+(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)+|z|(|x|+|y|)}\alpha^2(z)((xy)\alpha(t))+(-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)}(\alpha(t)(yx))\alpha^2(z)\\
&-(-1)^{|t||x|}((xy)\alpha(t))\alpha^2(z)-(-1)^{|y|(|t|+|x|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\alpha^2(z)(\alpha(t)(yx))\\
&-(-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\alpha^2(z)(\alpha(t)(xy))-(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|t|)}((yx)\alpha(t))\alpha^2(z)\Big)\\
&=(-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,t,x)]+(-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,x)]\\
&+(-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)]+(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|y|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,t,y)]\\
&+(-1)^{|x||t|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,t)]+(-1)^{|x|(|y|+|t|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,x,t)].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,t,x)] &= (-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),(yt)\alpha(x)-\alpha(y)(tx)]\\
&= (-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\Big(\alpha^2(z)((yt)\alpha(x))\\
&\quad -\alpha^2(z)(\alpha(y)(tx))-(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}((yt)\alpha(x))\alpha^2(z)\\
&\quad +(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(y)(tx))\alpha^2(z)\Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,x)] &= (-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}[\alpha^2(z),(ty)\alpha(x)-\alpha(t)(yx)]\\
&= (-1)^{|y|(|x|+|t|)+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}\Big(\alpha^2(z)((ty)\alpha(x))\\
&\quad -\alpha^2(z)(\alpha(t)(yx))-(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}((ty)\alpha(x))\alpha^2(z)\\
&\quad +(-1)^{|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\alpha(t)(yx))\alpha^2(z)\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

The desired condition (6.33) now follows from (6.34), (6.35) and (6.36). ☐

Proof. (Theorem (6.1)) Let $(\mathcal{A},\mu,\alpha)$ be a Hom-alternative superalgebra. To show that it is Hom-Jordan-admissible, it suffices to prove the Hom-Jordan super-identity (6.32) for its plus Hom-superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^+=(\mathcal{A},*,\alpha)$.
Using again the super-alternativity of $\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}$, this implies that

$$(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}\circ\theta)(xy,\alpha(z),\alpha(t))=0$$

and

$$(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}\circ\theta)(yx,\alpha(z),\alpha(t))=0$$

for any permutation θ on three letters. Since

$$[\alpha^2(z),(-1)^{|x||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,t,x)+(-1)^{|y||t|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,y,x))]=0,$$
$$[\alpha^2(z),(-1)^{|t||y|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(t,x,y)+(-1)^{|t||x|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,t,y))]=0,$$

and

$$[\alpha^2(z),(-1)^{|x||t|+|z|(|x|+|y|+|t|)}(\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(x,y,t)+(-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(y,x,t))]=0.$$

As well, it follows from Lemma (6.1) that

$$\sum_{x,y,t}4(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}^+}}(x*y,\alpha(z),\alpha(t))=0,$$

from which the desired Hom-Jordan super-identity for $\mathcal{A}^+$ (6.32) follows. ☐

The following constructions results are the analogues of Theorems (2.1) and (2.1) for Hom-Jordan and Hom-Jordan-admissible superalgebras.

Theorem 6.2.

1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ be a Hom-Jordan superalgebra and $\beta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an even Jordan superalgebra endomorphism. Then $\mathcal{A}_\beta = (\mathcal{A}, \mu_\beta = \beta \circ \mu, \beta\alpha)$ is a Hom-Jordan superalgebra.*
2. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ be a Hom-Jordan superalgebra. Then the derived Hom-superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^n = (\mathcal{A}, \mu^{(n)} = \alpha^{2^n-1} \circ \mu, \alpha^{2^n})$ is also a Hom-Jordan superalgebra for each $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. For the first assertion, first note that $\mu_\beta = \beta \circ \mu$ is super-commutative. To prove the Hom-Jordan super-identity (6.31) in $\mathcal{A}_\beta$, regard $(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ as the Hom-superalgebra $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, Id)$. Then for all $x, y, z, t \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as}_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}(\mu_\beta(x,y), \beta\alpha(z), \beta\alpha(t)) &= \sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as}_{\mathcal{A}_\beta}(\beta(\mu(x,y)), \beta\alpha(z), \beta\alpha(t))\\
&= \beta^2\Big(\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as}_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta(\mu(x,y)), \beta\alpha(z), \beta\alpha(t))\Big)\\
&= \beta^3\Big(\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mu(x,y), \alpha(z), \alpha(t))\Big)\\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ is a Hom-Jordan superalgebra.
For the second assertion, first note that $\mu^{(n)} = \alpha^{2^n-1} \circ \mu$ is super-commutative. To prove the Hom-Jordan super-identity (6.31) in $\mathcal{A}^n$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as}_{\mathcal{A}^n}(\mu^{(n)}(x,y), \alpha^{2^n}(z), \alpha^{2^n}(t))\\
=\;& \alpha^{2(2^n-1)}\Big(\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as}_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha^{2^n-1}(\mu(x,y)), \alpha^{2^n}(z), \alpha^{2^n}(t))\Big)\\
=\;& \alpha^{3(2^n-1)}\Big(\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mu(x,y), \alpha(z), \alpha(t))\Big)\\
=\;& 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{A}^n$ is a Hom-Jordan superalgebra. ☐

6.1. **Examples of Hom-Jordan superalgebras.** We construct examples of Hom-Jordan superalgebras according to Theorem (6.2).

Example 6.2. (*Hom-Jordan superalgebra of dimension* 3). *We consider the* 3*-dimensional Kaplansky superalgebra $K_3 = \mathbb{K}e \oplus (\mathbb{K}x + \mathbb{K}y)$ (see* [11]*), with characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ is different to* 2*. The product is defined as:*

$$\mu(e,e) = e,\ \mu(e,x) = \frac{1}{2}x,\ \mu(e,y) = \frac{1}{2}y,\ \mu(x,y) = e.$$

K_3 is a simple Jordan superalgebra.
Even superalgebra endomorphisms α with respect to the basis $\{e, x, y\}$ are defined by

$$\alpha(e) = e,\ \alpha(x) = \frac{1}{c}x\ \alpha(y) = \frac{1}{c}y,$$

with $c \neq 0$. According to Theorem (6.2)*, the even linear map α and the following multiplication*

$$\mu_\alpha(e,e) = e,\ \mu_\alpha(e,x) = \frac{1}{2c}x,\ \mu_\alpha(e,y) = \frac{1}{2c}y,\ [x,y]_\alpha = e.$$

determine a 3*-dimensional Hom-Jordan superalgebra.*
In general, (K_3, μ_α) is not a Jordan superalgebra. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{e,e,y}(-1)^{|y|(|e|+|x|)}as_{K_3}(\mu_\alpha(e,e), x, y) &= (\frac{1}{2c} - 1)e\\
&\neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for $c \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}$. Then (K_3, μ_α) does not satisfy the Jordan super-identity.

Example 6.3. (*Hom-Jordan superalgebra of dimension* 4). *Let* $D_t = (D_t)_0 \oplus (D_t)_1$ *(see* [18]*), where* $(D_t)_0 = span\{e_1, e_2\}$ *and* $(D_t)_1 = span\{x, y\}$ *be the* 4*-dimensional superalgebra,* $t \neq 0$*, with the product given by*

$$\mu(e_i, e_i) = e_i,\ \mu(e_1, e_2) = 0,\ \mu(e_i, x) = \frac{1}{2}x,\ \mu(e_i, y) = \frac{1}{2}y,\ [x, y] = e_1 + te_2,\ i = 1, 2.$$

This family of Jordan superalgebras (that depend on the parameter t*) corresponds to the family of* $17-$*dimensional Lie superalgebras* $D(2, 1, \beta)$*.*
Superalgebra endomorphisms α *with respect to the basis* $\{e_1, e_2, x, y\}$ *are defined by*

$$\alpha(e_1) = e_1,\ \alpha(e_2) = e_2,\ \alpha(x) = ax + by,\ \alpha(y) = cx + \frac{1 + bc}{a}y.$$

According to Theorem (6.2), the even linear map α *and the following multiplication*

$$\mu_\alpha(e_i, e_i) = e_i,\ \mu_\alpha(e_1, e_2) = 0,\ \mu_\alpha(e_i, x) = \frac{1}{2}ax + \frac{1}{2}by,$$

$$\mu_\alpha(e_i, y) = \frac{1}{2}cx + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1 + bc}{a})y,\ \mu_\alpha(x, y) = e_1 + te_2,\ i = 1, 2$$

where a, b, t *are parameter in* $\mathbb{K}^*$ *and* $c \in \mathbb{K}$ *satisfying* $1 + bc \neq 0$*, determine a* 4*-dimensional Hom-Jordan superalgebra.*
Note that (D_t, μ_α) *in general is not a Jordan superalgebra. Indeed, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{e_1,e_2,y} (-1)^{|y|(|e_1|+|x|)} as_{D_t}(\mu_\alpha(e_1, e_2), x, y) &= \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1 + bc}{a})\Big)(e_1 + te_2)\\ &\neq 0,\end{aligned}$$

for $1 + bc \neq 0, a$*. So,* (D_t, μ_α) *does not satisfy the Jordan super-identity.*

Theorem 6.3.

1. *Let* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ *be a Hom-Jordan-admissible superalgebra and* $\beta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ *be an even Jordan-admissible superalgebra endomorphism. Then* $\mathcal{A}_\beta = (\mathcal{A}, \mu_\beta, \beta\alpha)$ *is a Hom-Jordan- admissible superalgebra.*
2. *Let* $(\mathcal{A}, \mu, \alpha)$ *be a Hom-Jordan-admissible superalgebra. Then the derived Hom-superalgebra* $\mathcal{A}^n = (\mathcal{A}, \mu^{(n)} = \alpha^{2^n-1} \circ \mu, \alpha^{2^n})$ *is also a Hom-Jordan-admissible superalgebra for each* $n \geq 0$*.*

Proof. For the first assertion, first note that the plus Hom-superalgebra $(\mathcal{A}_\beta)^+ = (\mathcal{A}, *_\beta, \beta\alpha)$ satisfies, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{aligned}x *_\beta y &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu_\beta(x, y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu_\beta(y, x))\\ &= \beta \circ \frac{1}{2}(\mu(x, y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(y, x))\\ &= \beta \circ (x * y).\end{aligned}$$

Then $*_\beta = \beta \circ *$.
Therefore, we have $(\mathcal{A}_\beta)^+ = (\mathcal{A}^+)_\beta$, where $\mathcal{A}^+$ is the Hom-Jordan-superalgebra $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$. Since $*_\beta$ is supercommutative, it remains to prove the Hom-Jordan super-identity in $(\mathcal{A}_\beta)^+ = (\mathcal{A}^+)_\beta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x,y,t} (-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)} \widetilde{as_{(\mathcal{A}^+)_\beta}}(\mu_\beta(x, y), \beta\alpha(z), \beta\alpha(t))\\ = \ &\beta^2\Big(\sum_{x,y,t} (-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)} \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}^+}}(\beta(\mu(x, y)), \beta\alpha(z), \beta\alpha(t))\Big)\\ = \ &\beta^3\Big(\sum_{x,y,t} (-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)} \widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}}}(\mu(x, y), \alpha(z), \alpha(t))\Big)\\ = \ &0.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(\mathcal{A}_\beta)^+$ satisfies the Hom-Jordan super-identity, so $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ is Hom-Jordan-admissible superalgebra.

For the second assertion, first note that the plus Hom-superalgebra $(\mathcal{A}^n)^+ = (\mathcal{A}, *^{(n)}, \alpha^{2^n})$ satisfies, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{aligned} x *^{(n)} y &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu^{(n)}(x,y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu^{(n)}(y,x)) \\ &= \alpha^{2^n-1} \circ \frac{1}{2}(\mu(x,y) + (-1)^{|x||y|}\mu(y,x)) \\ &= \alpha^{2^n-1} \circ (x * y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $(\mathcal{A}^n)^+ = (\mathcal{A}^+)^n$, where $\mathcal{A}^+$ is the Hom-Jordan superalgebra $(\mathcal{A}, *, \alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{A}^n)^+$ is its nth derived Hom-superalgebra. Since $*^{(n)}$ is super-commutative, it remains to prove the Hom-Jordan super-identity in $(\mathcal{A}^n)^+ = (\mathcal{A}^+)^n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x,y,t} (-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)} \widetilde{as_{(\mathcal{A}^+)^n}}(\mu^{(n)}(x,y), \alpha^{2^n}(z), \alpha^{2^n}(t)) \\ = \;& \alpha^{2(2^n-1)}\Big(\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}^+}}(\alpha^{2(2^n-1)}(\mu(x,y)), \alpha^{2^n}(z), \alpha^{2^n}(t))\Big) \\ = \;& \alpha^{3(2^n-1)}\Big(\sum_{x,y,t}(-1)^{|t|(|x|+|z|)}\widetilde{as_{\mathcal{A}^+}}(\mu(x,y), \alpha(z), \alpha(t))\Big) \\ = \;& 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(\mathcal{A}^n)^+$ is Hom-Jordan superalgebra, so $\mathcal{A}^n$ is a Hom-Jordan-admissible superalgebra. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] H. Albuquerque, A. Elduque and J. Laliena, *Superalgebras with semisimple even part*, Communications in Algebra and Logic , vol. **25** (5) (1997) 1573–1587.

[2] H. Albuquerque and A. Elduque, *Classification of Mal'tsev Superalgebras of small dimensions*, Algebra and Logic, vol. **35** (6) (1996) 512–554.

[3] F. Ammar and A. Makhlouf, *Hom-Lie superalgebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras*, Journal of Algebra **324** (2010), 1513–1528.

[4] R. H. Bruck and E. Kleinfled, *The structure of alternative division rings*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., , vol. **2** (6) (1951) 878–890.

[5] N. Cantarini, and V. G. Kac, *Classification of linearly compact simple Jordan and generalized Poisson superalgebras*, Journal of Algebra **313** (2007) 100–124.

[6] A. Elduque and I. P. Shestakov, *Irreducible non-Lie modules for Malcev superalgebras*, Journal of Algebra, vol. **173** (1995) 622–637.

[7] A. Elduque and I. P. Shestakov, *On Malcev superalgebras with trivial Lie nucleus*, Journal of Algebra Geometry, vol. (2) (1993), 361–366.

[8] M. Elhamdadi and A. Makhlouf, *Deformations of Hom-Alternative and Hom-Malcev algebras*, (2010), To appear in Group, Algebra and Geometries, e-Print: arXiv:1006.2499 (2010).

[9] Gohr. A, *On Hom-algebras with surjective twisting*, Journal of Algebra vol. **324**, no. 7 (2010) 1483–1491

[10] J. Hartwig, D. Larsson, and S. D. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations*, Journal of Algebra **295** (2006), 314–361.

[11] I. Kaplansky, *Graded Jordan algebras I*, preprint .

[12] V. G. Kac, *Classification of simple $Z-$graded Lie superalgebras and simple Jordan superalgebras*, Comm. Algebra **5** (1977), 1375–1400.

[13] D. Larsson and S. D. Silvestrov, *Quasi-Hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities*, Journal of Algebra **288** (2005), 321–344.

[14] M. C. Lopez-Diaz and I. P. Shestakov, *Representations of exceptional simple alternative superalgebras of characteristic* 3, Transections of the American Mathematical Society, vol. **354** (7) (2002) 2745–2758.

[15] A. Makhlouf, *Hom-alternative algebras and Hom-Jordan algebras*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra **8** (2010), 177–190.

[16] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, *On Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2, **2** (2008), 51–64.

[17] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, *Notes on formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras*, Forum Math, vol. **22** (4) (2010) 715–759.

[18] C. Martinez, *Simplicity of Jordan superalgebras and relations with Lie structures*, Irish Math. Soc. Bulletin **50** (2003), 97–116.

[19] C. Martinez and E. Zelmanov, *Representations theory of Jordan superalgebras I*, Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2) (2010), 815–846.

[20] A. P. Pozhidaev and I. P. Shestakov, *Noncommutative Jordan superalgebras of degree $n > 2$* , Algebra and Logic , vol. **49** (1) (2010) 512–543.12.

[21] M. Racine and E. Zelmanov, *Classification of simple Jordan superalgebras with semisimple even part*, Journal of Algebra **270** (2), (2003) 374–444.

[22] P. A. Richardson, *Centroids of quadratic Jordan superalgebras*, arXiv , vol. (2006) .

[23] I. P. Shestakov and A. Elduque, *Prime non-Lie modules for Mal'Tsev superalgebras*, Algebra and Logic, vol. **33** (4) (1994) 253–262.

[24] I. P. Shestakov, *Alternative and Jordan superalgebras*, Siberian Advances in Mathematics , vol. **9** (1999) 83–99.

[25] I. P. Shestakov, *Quantization of Poisson superalgebras and speciality of Jordan Poisson superalgebras*, Algebra and Logic, vol. **32** (5) (1993) 309–317.

[26] I. P. Shestakov and E. Zalmanov, *Prime alternative superalgebras and the nilpotency of the radical of a free alternative algebras*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR , vol. **54** (1990) 676–693.

[27] Y. Sheng, *Representations of Hom-Lie algebras*, Algebras and Representation Theory, (2010), 1–18.

[28] A. S. Shtern, *Representation of an exceptional Jordan superalgebra*, Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen , vol. **21** (1987) 93–94.

[29] M. N. Trushina, *Representations of simple superalgebra of* $B(1,2)$, Journal of Mathematical Sciences , vol. **114** (2) (2003) 512–554.

[30] D. Yau, *Enveloping algebra of Hom-Lie algebras*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2** (2008) 95–108.

[31] D. Yau, *Hom-algebras and homology,* J. Lie Theory **19** (2009) 409–421.

[32] D. Yau, *Hom-maltsev, Hom-alternative and Hom-jordan algebras*, International Electronic Journal of Algebra **11** (2012) 177–217.

[33] E. I. Zel'manov and I. P. Shestakov, *Prime alternative superalgebras and nilpotence of free alternative algebra,* Math USSR Izvestiya. **37** (1991) UDC 512–554.

[34] R. Zhang, D. Hou and C. Bai, *A Hom-version of the affinizations of Balinskii-Novikov and Novikov superalgebras,* J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011) 023505.

K. Abdaoui and F. Ammar, University of Sfax, Faculty of Sciences Sfax, BP 1171, 3038 Sfax, Tunisia.
E-mail address: `Abdaouielkadri@hotmail.com`
E-mail address: `Faouzi.Ammar@fss.rnu.tn`

A. Makhlouf University of Haute Alsace, 4 rue des frères Lumière, 68093 Mulhouse France.
E-mail address: `Abdenacer.Makhlouf@uha.fr`